1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8	RAMIRO MORALES, Bar # 167947 rmorales@mfrlegal.com DAVID A. ASTENGO, Bar # 196096 dastengo@mfrlegal.com MORALES, FIERRO & REEVES 2300 Contra Costa Blvd., Suite 310 Pleasant Hill, California 94523 Telephone: (925) 288-1776 Facsimile: (925) 288-1856 Attorneys for Defendant and Third-Party Plai WESTCHESTER SURPLUS LINES INSUR	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	(San Francisco)	
11		
12	SIERRA BAY CONTRACTORS, INC., A	CASE NO: 3:10-CV-04611-RS
13	California Corporation,	CHINIII ATION AND INDODOCEDI
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF INTERSTATE FIRE & CASUALTY
15 16 17	v. WESTCHESTER SURPLUS LINES INSURANCE COMPANY, a Georgia corporation, and ROES 1 through 50, inclusive,	COMPANY
18	Defendants.	
19 20	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS	
21		
22	Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 41, Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Westchester Surplus Lines	
23	Insurance Company ("Westchester"), and Third-Party Defendant and Cross-Claimant, Interstate	
24	Fire & Casualty Company ("Interstate"), have agreed and hereby stipulate to the dismissal of	
25	Interstate from Westchester's Third-Party Complaint, with prejudice, subject to said parties'	
26 27	mutual waiver of costs. Said parties request the Court's approval and execution of the below proposed order for dismissal.	
28	proposed order for disimssal.	
20		

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	DATE: November 14, 2012	WILSON & ELLIN
3		
4	By:	That Will
5	·	Mark W. Peck Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant and Cross-Claimant
6		INTERSTATE FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY
7		4
8	DATE: November <u>6</u> , 2012	MORALES, EJERRO & REEVES
9		
10		
11	By:	David A./Astengo
12		Attorneys for Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff WESTCHESTER SURPLUS LINES INSURANCE
13		COMPANY
14		
15	[PROPOSED] ORDER OF PARTIAL DISMISSAL	
16	Having reviewed the above stipulation, the Court orders that Defendant and Third-Party	
17	Plaintiff, Westchester Surplus Lines Insurance Company's Third-Party Complaint against Third-	
18	Party Defendant and Cross-Claimant Interstate Fire & Casualty Company, be dismissed, with	
19	prejudice. The remainder of the Third-Party Complaint shall not be changed or impacted by this	
20	Order.	
21	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22		
23	Date: 11/21/12	Will Seeling
24	Ī	United States District Court
25	<u> </u> 	District of Northern California
26		
27		
28		
2011		